

Appln. No. 10/679,530

Amendment Date: January 27, 2006

Reply to Office Action of September 27, 2005

Page 6

REMARKS

The Examiner has objected to informalities of language in the claims and to avoid the same, Applicant has adopted the stated suggestions and corrected the claims in accordance therewith. Also, several amendments have been made in the claims to overcome the rejection of indefiniteness between the single lens of Claim 1 and the multiple lenses of Claim 2.

Claims 1-6 have been amended to even more clearly distinguish over the cited Parker and Feinbloom references. Claim 1 now recites structure of the wedge shaped lens that is not even remotely anticipated by Parker or taught by Feinbloom. Parker's lens 38 is limited to an elliptical shape having two outer convex surfaces. His lens 48 discloses two concave surfaces. Putting these two lenses together in now way shows a wedge shape lens claimed by Applicant.

Feinbloom shows a block 14-15 prism with lenses 25 and 26. By no stretch of the imagination can Feinbloom be combined with Parker to anticipate or make obvious the structure of Applicant's wedge shaped lens currently set forth in Claim 1.

Jan 27 06 10:14p

Robert Brown

1-847-272-5424

p. 9

THIS PAGE BLANK USPTO

Appln. No. 10/679,530

Amendment Date: January 27, 2006

Reply to Office Action of September 27, 2005


Page 7

Applicant respectfully contends the present amendments place this case in proper order for allowance. Accordingly, the Examiner's reconsideration and early allowance are respectfully solicited.

Applicant's Attorney may be reached at 847/272-3182, or 847/272-3176, or FAX 847/272-5424, e-mail: RABROWNLAW@AOL.COM if the need arises for any further discussion in connection with the matters at hand.

Respectfully submitted,

WILLIAM L. MOHAN



Robert A. Brown, Reg. No. 26,149
P. O. Box 2127
Northbrook, Illinois 60065-2127

By FAX: 571-273-8300 - 8 Pages total including Transmittal Form